## Fraud in Payment Flows

Points of Control & Vulnerability





18% resulted in financial loss



2020 | Treasury Fraud & Controls Survey

DOWNSTREAM DETECTION ( Employee Education PAYER SETUP **RECONCILIATION 66%** train at point of hire: **CONCERNS CONCERNS** 70% train annually Reconciliation (Declining!) Segregation of Duties 🔻 © Fraudulent Employee ACH Fraud ( Speed to Detect **28%** (down from 45% in 2016) **27%** experienced attempts; **83%** of organizations Fictitious Vendor daily reconcile >90% of accounts Lack of Visibility 2% resulted in staff termination utilize dual controls Invalid Instructions Slow/No Reconciliation Sanctioned Party (v) Internal System Monitoring Appropriate Automation Visibility (Stable) **51%** of firms have user ~2/3rd have daily monitoring software visibility to >90% of accounts since 2016 Incident Management ( Ransomware DISBURSEMENT Less than half (49%) 19% success rate: **VULNERABILITIES** Research Overview have a reaction plan 9% firms paid: False Invoices 9% had follow-on attack The risk of fraud has risen despite elevated spending Misdirected Payments and confidence as criminals continue to operate in increasingly sophisticated and automated ways. © Compromised Gateway Payment diversion and imposter fraud are generating the most realized losses. Out-of-Band Validation Discover more from the experience and perspective of 350+ corporate and bank practitioners who participated in the 4th annual Treasury Fraud and Controls Survey by downloading the results report. **NOTABLE CORRELATIONS** There are significant links between fraud training and fraud interdiction services in Multi-factor relation to lower realized losses. Firms utilizing payment fraud solutions with interdiction Authentication (MFA) capability experienced 75% fewer losses in BEC, imposter, and CEO fraud. (A) Imposter Fraud/Business **66%** utilize on wire payments; Principle of Least Privilege (A) Organizations without fraud prevention training for employees experienced greater (A) Fraud Detection & Interdiction Email Compromise (BEC) losses across various types. No training correlated with greater losses by these factors: 44% on non-wire Less than 1 in 5 utilize 20% have system in place; **67%** hit by attempts; 2x ACH Fraud, 4x BEC Fraud, and 5x Ransomware—among others. this control principle 17% have alert-only